

Nancy's Tuesday class #9 (6-22-1993) (tape 2 only)

Key topics: Africa and South Africa, cycles of nature, knowledge and wisdom, civilization, archetypal primal symbols, difference between knowledge and wisdom

Nancy: On the borders of any country, there is an admixture of languages. But when you get into the central parts of any country, it's pure. I don't think you will find people in Kansas, Missouri, Ohio speaking Spanish unless there is a desire to add it to their process. Not as a normal, common process. If we took up a second language, I think it should be French. If you can speak French and Spanish, you can learn any language. If you can speak French, you can go anywhere in the world.

Other: Will Canada be part of the United States?

Nancy: Never. From my viewpoint it already is part of the United States. From my standpoint, we (the US) are the masculine part of the North American continent, and they are the feminine part of the North American continent. There is a very thin line between us. They still work under the Commonwealth, and we work under democracy. That's the big difference between us. They will never give up their commonwealth. They have principalities instead of states.

Other: What are your thoughts on South Africa?

Nancy: Africa is a continent that is changing color, when I speak from my color language. It is moving from magenta to red. It is going to go through an enormous change in the next 50 years. Had South Africa not existed, the continent might not have made this evolutionary change quite so quickly. But when you hear the "true Africans" battling South Africans and blaming them for their problems, it's true because they pushed up their evolution. They pushed up (advanced) their need to become civilized. African change in the continent has nothing to do with the continent. It's a change that is in the nature of things. And the nature of things always produces the people who bring about the change. So for some odd reason the British people went to South Africa and brought about a need for the whole country to bring about a massive change. Civilization cannot handle wild animals, for instance because wild animals destroy civilization. They do not destroy the Earth; they destroy civilization. Civilization, in my opinion, philosophically speaking, is an absolute in the law of nature. Civilization must take place, and there is a rotation that goes on. The Earth changes to match civilization, which changes civilization. So it guarantees a constant changing process – a seesaw if you will. One affects another one, which brings about an effect, which affects both. In my opinion South Africa is going through such starvation because the land refuses to produce. It is a dead state – in the apex state of its change, its natural change. It has been coming for 75 years, but people didn't pay any attention to it. Please do not take what I am saying in prejudiced tones. This is what happens in savagery. We had it in the Americans. We now see it with the Africans in a more objective view, from my standpoint. Even though we think, romantically, that people who live in the wild are what we consider savages (and what they consider naturalists) act like they are working in harmony with the soil. Somehow the soil dies over time. Now that is the nature of things. The nature of life has a given period of time for action, and then death takes place for resurrection and resurgence. So Africa is going through their "death" right now so the land is non-productive without using man-made ingredients. This is what you want to remember about our country. What was it where the Oklahoma bowl (Dust Bowl) was created, where the land just went totally dead? Now you look at Oklahoma. It's not a dead land any more. It went through its dead cycle. Lands do that. Lands go through cycles just like people do. Africa is going through it en masse. The only part of Africa that looks like it is flourishing is the civilized part that man went in and changed by man-made methods. We have to see that if we want to understand the philosophy of nature. It is my opinion

that we are part of nature too. Man can have the dominion if he is willing to take it. But if he just lives in harmony with the land, he will die with it when the land dies. So civilization does not work in harmony with the land. Savagery does. And that has its life span which is, of course, the Fourth Moral Lesson, which is that not one thing can live one second beyond its life span. And if you resist civilization, then you die with the land. That's a very important lesson that we need to learn, especially those of us who want to become naturalists again – that you had better learn to respect your civilization. Without it, you will die. You will die anyway, but you will die much more comfortably in a civilized territory. You'll die with medical treatment. If you want to live naturally, according to the law of the land, pay attention to the law of Africa and learn from it.

Other: Do you see the expulsion of the whites from South Africa?

Nancy: No, of course not. You do not push civilization out once it comes in. Civilization kills the natural process. Didn't we see that in Hawaii? Didn't we see that in the Polynesian Islands? Didn't we see it here (America)? Civilization kills natural processes. I'm not sure that is not the way God intended it to be. I'm not an expert on it. I think it's the law of nature – that that is what is supposed to happen. For instance, let's take the example that we get more into processed foods here. We build these giant cities that have cement covering everything. What do you think will happen in 150 or 300 years from now when the cement decays? What do you think will be underneath the pavement? Very rich. It will have revitalized and restored itself. And it will be flourishing again. Some of you will (I have one here in my condo) where nature is literally pushing up my sidewalk with roots that are growing underneath. Nature is quite destructive at times to manmade objects. And manmade objects are quite destructive to nature. It's give and take. Nature always wins. Nature always wins.

Other: With the rain forest that is being taken away, and that is affecting the world ...

Nancy: That brings up a subject. I was listening to some speakers this morning while I was getting ready. They were scientists – a geologist and a geographic man. One said we were going to have a global ice age and the other said we were going to have a greenhouse effect. They were bitterly opposed in their views and equally zealous. I go with the global ice. We are headed for a mini ice age. You and I will not see it, but I do believe it. In the next 300-500 years we will have an Ice Age.

Other: What does that exactly mean?

Nancy: We will have very little warm air on this Earth plane. Then what are we going to say about the Ozone layer? What are all of us who were taunting about the Ozone layer when the Ice Age moves? What you are going to see in your lifetime is every winter getting colder and longer. And every summer is getting hotter and shorter. You already see that effect. There is snow in the Northwest right now, which we usually never have in July. This is how the Ice Age will come. Every winter becomes colder. Every summer becomes hotter and shorter. It is true all over the United States. Did you know that the islands of Hawaii now have a drought? That is a surprise. Water, water everywhere and everybody thirsty. Weather currents are changing. It changes so slowly, and we acclimate so quickly that people don't pay any attention to it until it becomes dramatic. Now that's where you are in evolution right now. You have been evolving all along. But you have suddenly come to the cutting edge where it becomes dramatic, and you are going, "My God! It just started." It didn't just start. It has been going at a steady pace all along. And it will continue to go at a steady pace whether you like it or not. If you don't, you will be destroyed with the change. If you can adjust to it, you will survive with civilization. That's a very important statement. Only civilization flourishes. They go up and down, but when one civilization goes down, another builds on it. And clearer. When Nature goes down, it stays down for a given length of

time, and nobody builds it back up until the next eon of time, which are not the people who died with it. Suddenly it becomes raw, new land that no one has seen before. This is the change that is going on in Africa. And it is my opinion that South Africa will stay because civilization will stay. The wild animals and the Africans will go if they can't blend in with civilization. Why? Because it's time. Not because they are weak, not because ... but because it's time in Nature's clock for this to happen. Like the dinosaurs. And this is an interesting thing for you to know. Every wild animal has its time. It grows, it flourishes, and it disappears. The only animals that stay throughout time are the animals that man chooses to domesticate and use. The horses, the cows, the dogs, the cats.

Other: The roaches? (laughter)

Nancy: In truth, man does assist them because they eat the food that we prepare. They (roaches) live off our dirt. In that way, they are supported by man. So yes, they will continue to evolve with man. The same is true of mosquitos, flies, etc. Isn't it amazing that mosquitoes have learned to adjust to our pesticides? We haven't, but they have. They are evolving through manmade things. That's philosophy. It will always be. The animals that man uses will always evolve with man. The animals that man only looks at or every uses or are afraid of will go extinct in time. That's the basis for zoos, circuses, etc. When man takes a wild animal and trains it, what happens to that animal in consciousness? They are less wild. Then after a certain number of that animal becomes less wild, the whole consciousness of that animal becomes less wild. What happens when he (that animal) is in the wild? He can't survive. Isn't that interesting? We have a problem in the US with deer right now. They were going extinct. Man decided to help them. Now they are destroying man's land. But they are flourishing. Whatever man takes under his wing flourishes. This is what we talk about when we talk philosophically about civilization. What man does not use dies in its time. It will always be that way. The unfortunate thing is that we have so few things that are still wild. When you go to Africa right now and you look at animals that you think are wild and you think they are the same as they were 50 years ago, you are sadly mistaken. They are fed by man who throws things out there for them, and they don't attack the people. They are not wild animals. The ones that are really wild, you don't see. And you'd better not go where they are. We have gotten so homogenized that we have forgotten natural law. The natural law will work whether you are ignorant of it or not.

Other: The fact that many of the world's religions have wild animals as part of the religion like the eagle or the bear or the lion, is a way that man buys off his guilt by honoring those animals?

Nancy: It's his totem, which means his archetypal image of his primal self. Man has evolved through all stages. In other words, if you take an embryo from the time it's a sperm, which looks like a fish swimming up the channel...

Other: In our memory?

Nancy: Not that. One of the things is that a child in its mother's womb goes through the entire evolution to date. It first looks like a fish, then it turns into like a little monkey. If you ever look at a 6, 7, 8 month old embryo, it has that ... incidentally, did you notice the new law on abortion...up to six weeks it is not murder? Up to 16 weeks the embryo within the womb has no feelings? Did you know that? They have done research on that recently and have done shock treatments, stuck needles into the fetus and it did not have any muscular reaction. Isn't that interesting? Up to 16 weeks. So they define the difference now between embryo and fetus. Isn't that fascinating?

Other: Isn't that good news for those who argue for it?

Nancy: Absolutely. This is what won the case in Northern California where the man attacked a pregnant woman and she was six weeks pregnant. He got sentenced to murder because the child was aborted. And he was sentenced with murder. This just happened last week. This is how all this research came out and how she won the case. That's how he was convicted – on the research they have discovered. Isn't that interesting? Back to my class on philosophy. The development of the baby in the womb goes through the entire evolution of man as he came up through time. In that nine months through that entire evolution history. So man went through those developmental stages throughout his evolution at this refined point and changed again. Perhaps (and I choose to believe this) whenever there is the naturalist who uses his primal source (which is nature,) then he will have the emblem of his primal self. And he will have it on two levels: a plus and a minus. He will have a good totem and the bad totem, which we call God and the Devil. He will have a totem which is respected and revered, and one that he fears and wants to destroy. That's his archetypal, primal self. You yourself, even in your civilization, (don't I sound like a separatist? Laughter) will have a primal self that you respect and a primal self that you do not respect. And you will voice that in the name of an animal. You can have nightmares about a certain animal, and you can have wonderful dreams about a certain animal.

Other: What if you never dream about an animal?

Nancy: So you lost your primal self, did you?

Other: The only one I can ever remember is a cat.

Nancy: That's primal. That's the basis of (Juna???) that talks about your low self as an animal, digging in the archetypal, primal self.

Other: Are cats very high on the scale?

Nancy: Not necessarily. A cat's a cat. It still can't talk like me. Pure and simple. He's more evolved because man has taken him under his wing and taught him his language. So a cat does understand man. And I think sometimes, certain ones can speak back so that we understand it. Metaphysically speaking, the cat is the symbol of the psychic. The feline process. The feline represents the feminine, and the dog represents the masculine in our make-up. You might want to think about that sometime. What is the animal you respect most in all the animals? Which one relates to you in a most respectful way may be your archetypal primal plus. And which one do you fear the most? Can you not tolerate? That might be your archetypal primal minus. Negative. For some of us, the negative may be snakes. For some of us, that may be their positive. The difference between the worm and the eagle. The eagle is always wisdom. He flies high. Wisdom can be very dangerous if it decides to consume you. The eagle can be very dangerous if it decides to attack you. It's not a pure, sweet, kind, lovely bird. It can be quite vicious when it chooses to destroy. Wisdom can do that too. Does anybody know why we chose the eagle as our symbol? I'm not up on that.

Other: I know there was an argument about that or a turkey.

Nancy: God, I'm so glad we didn't choose a turkey. (Laughter) I'm going to find out. I'll tell you next week. It (the eagle) is the right symbol for our country. We can be noble and wonderful in our gestures, but we can be dangerous if we choose to. And we have to note that in our process. But to me, that's what it means The archetypal, primal self.

Other: Isn't the snake also the symbol ...

Nancy: Knowledge. The snake is a symbol of knowledge. Not wisdom. We interchange the two sometimes, but the truth is that the snake is knowledge and the eagle is wisdom, which is why the snake is the one who talked to Adam and Eve in the Garden of Eden. Remember me sharing that creation story with you? I will have to bring it in and share it again. It's timely. But it's wonderful. The difference between wisdom and knowledge is that wisdom has no basis in the past; wisdom only reflects the potential of the future. Knowledge sets all its justifications on the history of the past. So the snake slithers on the ground. It only has earthy means to teach. The eagle flies through the sky and gathers information we have never heard before. That's one of the differences.

Other: Think of the symbology of Scorpio.

Nancy: The scorpion or the eagle. You're talking to a Scorpio. Be careful. No, I'm teasing. That is the difference I was talking about before – the worm and the eagle. Any other questions?

Other: Back when we were talking about Bosnia, it brought to mind an incident in Madrid a couple of days ago – the conflict between the Basques and the Spanish. Is that a religious...

Nancy: That is a religious process, but it is intermixed with politics.

Other: But after so long?

Nancy: And it will go on a lot longer. The basic foundation of that argument is religious. But it's been piled over with a lot of political issues. See, Bosnia hasn't gotten covered over with political issues yet. And maybe that's our role in Bosnia – to bring in the political coverage. Do you understand that statement – with the UN coming in and deciding how far they can go and how far they can't go, and that starts clouding the religious issues, and then politics slowly but surely over years of processes undermines the religion, which is not a bad thing. Bluntly spoken, some will prostitute their religious belief for the sake of peace.